

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,414	07/02/2003	Yoshio Kurosawa	1324.68134	3620	
7.	590 07/12/2005		EXAMINER		
Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.			ERDEM, FAZLI		
	ker Dr., Suite 2500		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Chicago, IL 6	50606		2826	2826	
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005	DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/612,414	KUROSAWA ET AL.	Cen			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fazli Erdem	2826				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		orrespondence addre	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commi	unication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ma	ay 2005.		•			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan			erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	D)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	- · ·	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.			• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152				

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 7 and 8 allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 5 and 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. (6,603,453) in view of further in view of Yamazaki et al. (2001/0052950) further in view of Kawasaki et al. (6,614,076)

Regarding Claims 5 and 6, Yamazaki et al. ('453) disclose a semiconductor device and method for manufacturing the same where in Fig. 1, a P channel TFT and an N channel TFT are disclosed on a substrate 801. Furthermore, P channel TFT has a fires gate insulation film 805, a second gate insulating film 808, gate electrode 813 on the second gate insulation film. N channel TFT also has a first gate insulation film and a gate electrode 814 disposed on the second gate insulation film 809. Yamazaki et al. fail to disclose the required gate insulating layer over semiconductor layer, the required lightly doped regions and the required gate electrode between gate insulating layers. However, Yamazaki et al. ('950) disclose s semiconductor display device and manufacturing method thereof where in claims 1, 2, 3, 16 and 19 the required gate insulating layer over semiconductor layer and the required lightly doped regions are disclosed. Furthermore,

Art Unit: 2826

Kawasaki et al. disclose an EL display device having a pixel portion and a driver circuit where in claim 1 the required gate electrode between gate insulating layers is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required insulating layer over semiconductor layer with lightly doped regions and the required gate electrode between gate insulating films in Yamazaki et al. as taught by Yamazaki et al. ('950) et al. and Kawasaki et al., respectively, in order to have a liquid crystal panel display device with increased performance.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2826

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FE July 9, 2005

